			1	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK			
2	X			
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA			
4		, ,		
5	-against-	U.S. Courthouse : Brooklyn, New York		
6	DOMENICO CEFALU, JOHN D'AMICO, LOUIS FILIPPELLI, VINCENT PACELLI &			
7	AUGUSTUS SCLAFANI,			
8	DEFENDANTS.	: May 8, 2008		
9		X 10:00 o'clock a.m.		
10				
11	TRANSCRIPT OF STATUS CONFERENCE AND BAIL HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE JACK B. WEINSTEIN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE			
12				
13				
14				
15	APPEARANCES:			
16	For the Government: BENT			
17	147	red States Attorney Pierrepont Street		
18	Brooklyn, New York 11201 BY: JOSEPH LIPTON EVAN NORRIS DANIEL BROWNELL ROGER BURLINGAME Assistants U.S. Attorney			
19				
20				
21				
22				
23		PH RYAN, ESQ.		
24	AMIT SANDHI For Deft Cefalu			
25		ZABETH MACEDONIO, ESQ. Deft D'Amico		

SS OCR CM CRR CSR

		2
1	ALAN FUTERFACE FOO	
2	ALAN FUTERFAS, ESQ. For Deft Filippelli	
3	MARGARET SHANLEY, ESQ.	
4	For Deft Pacelli	
5	ERIC FRANZ, ESQ.	
6	For Deft Sclafani	
7		
8	Court Reporter: SHELDON SILVERMAN Official Court Reporter	
10	225 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, New York 11201	
11	(718) 613-2537	
12		
13		
14	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography. Transcript Produced By Computer Aided Transcription.	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
24		
25		

SS OCR CM CRR CSR

THE CLERK: United States versus Cefalu, et al.

THE COURT: Good morning.

The trial for group A is scheduled now for June 9th, five defendants, Domenico Cefalu, John D'Amico, Louis Filippelli, Vincent Pacelli and Augustus Sclafani. Good morning, everyone.

These are the only defendants before us on this motion, correct?

MR. LIPTON: Yes, Judge. This was the status conference scheduled at the last appearance.

THE COURT: The first motion we'll hear is

Mr. Cefalu's motion to preclude the government from offering
evidence of any document not made available to the defendant
on or before May 8th, 2008, correct?

MR. RYAN: That's correct, your Honor. The purpose of that motion is to give some teeth to your Honor's orders of March 14th, directions of April 9th and to allow us to be in shape for the June 9th trial.

THE COURT: I'll be happy to hear you.

MR. RYAN: One of the particular items that we are complaining about, apart from preclusion, is a body of wiretap evidence, a bug on a car that Mr. Cefalu is riding in with his first cousin.

We have been given more than 500 hours of tapes in this case, but nothing from the bugged vehicle. In the

4 1 500 hours of tapes --2 He was in the car at all times? THE COURT: 3 MR. RYAN: He was in the car. At all times, no, he 4 was there when the investigators were watching him. 5 THE COURT: Are you seeking the tapes of the period 6 when he was in the car? 7 MR. RYAN: Yes. 8 THE COURT: As well as when he was not in the car, 9 or only when he was in the car? 10 My understanding is that the bug was on MR. RYAN: the car and that's what the focus of my demand is; that we 11 12 want the recordings of the bug in the car. If there are any 13 recordings, of course of Mr. Cefalu --14 THE COURT: Whose car? 15 MR. RYAN: Domenico Cefalu. They were riding around 16 in June 1st --THE COURT: Excuse me. Why are you entitled to the 17 18 recordings when your client was not in the car? 19 MR. RYAN: I'm not asking when he was not in the 20 car. 21 THE COURT: That's what I'm inquiring about. 22 MR. RYAN: Right. 23 THE COURT: You just want to be given what was 24 recorded when he was in the car? 25 MR. RYAN: Precisely.

5 1 THE COURT: The government has refused to give you 2 those recordings? 3 MR. RYAN: Precisely. I was given a disk. I defv 4 anyone to hear any conversations on the disk I was given. 5 THE COURT: Let's find out. Why aren't you giving 6 him the conversations while he was in the car? 7 MR. LIPTON: We've given defense every conversation 8 of his client in that investigation, while he was in the car 9 or otherwise. 10 THE COURT: Does that include the period when he 11 was in the car but he wasn't talking? 12 MR. LIPTON: I don't know that, your Honor. I 13 think if he would be in the car we would know he's talking. 14 would say probably no, but I will find out and I can get back 15 to your Honor on that issue. 16 THE COURT: Do you have a recording that's more 17 audible than the one counsel finds difficult to understand? 18 MR. LIPTON: No, I don't think we do, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: You have the same difficulty? 20 MR. LIPTON: Yes, we've tried to do different 21 I think we have made attempts to try to enhance a 22 portion of that tape, not the entire tape. 23 THE COURT: Have you furnished the enhancement? 24 MR. LIPTON: I think we do have an enhancement.

It's for a short segment of a conversation.

25

6 1 THE COURT: Have you transcribed any of it? 2 MR. LIPTON: We're transcribing some of it and this 3 one small portion is transcribed. 4 THE COURT: Turn over to defense counsel whatever 5 you have recorded during the period when he was in the car, 6 whether he was conversing or not. Turn over the enhancements as they become available. Turn over whatever transcripts you 7 8 have as they become available, the understanding being that 9 those are transcripts in process and defense counsel will not 10 argue to the jury that there are differences between the 11 transcripts offered and the first group, is that understood? 12 MR. RYAN: Clear. 13 THE COURT: Your next problem? 14 MR. RYAN: In addition to the recording, there are 15 contemporaneous records made by the monitoring agents of these conversations with Mr. Domenico Cefalu. 16 17 THE COURT: That's what he's giving you, the 18 recordings, as I understand it. 19 MR. RYAN: In addition to the recordings, they 20 keep logs, they monitor because they're obligated under the 21 order to make summaries of the conversations. 22 THE COURT: What advantage will that give you? 23 MR. RYAN: The hearing officer that's monitoring 24 hears the conversation directly.

I see.

THE COURT:

25

7 1 MR. RYAN: I ask for the logs, summaries of records 2 contemporaneous with the recordings. 3 THE COURT: Why can't you give him that? 4 MR. LIPTON: We have. It's on the disk. He has 5 everything. 6 THE COURT: Make sure he gets it in a way he can 7 understand it. 8 MR. LIPTON: If he has any questions or problems, 9 they're on there, you click on it, it comes up, summaries from 10 the bug. 11 THE COURT: Don't you have it in writing? 12 MR. LIPTON: They're on there. 13 THE COURT: Didn't they make a written log? 14 MR. LIPTON: They did. It's on the CD, all on 15 recording which he has been provided. 16 MR. RYAN: I must plead guilty to technological 17 incompetence. When I talk to the government I'm unaware of 18 any disks like that. They'll give it to you. 19 THE COURT: 20 What else? 21 MR. RYAN: The other item is the wiretap 22 applications that resulted in the bug and cell phone calls 23 during this period of the investigation. They're 24 investigating Mr. Cefalu because he was suspected of running a 25 sports betting gambling operation.

We were given redacted applications. I'm holding one up in my hand, Judge, submitted as an exhibit, wholesale redaction.

THE COURT: These are the applications?

MR. RYAN: Yes. Let me explain that. The redactions are material to our pretrial preparation.

For example, in the application subsequently made to when the bug was on the car, they quote a dialogue. dialogue, the officers tell the judge of the Supreme Court of New York that Mr. Cefalu admitted that he was the underboss. When and where this conversation took place is redacted. was present? What facility was it in, things of that nature. So, the redactions are material for us to conduct our investigation because we submit that this is a distorted transcription of a recording that we can't hear and have no transcript of. The redacted information will give us the full circumstances under which this particular conversation took That's why we ask your Honor to unredact them and place. allow us to have the full panoply of the orders and the applications upon which they were based in order for us to get into this major issue as to whether or not Mr. Cefalu admitted that he was the underboss of the Gambino crime family.

THE COURT: As I understand it, you're not seeking that in order to suppress?

MR. RYAN: No.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: What's the answer?

MR. LIPTON: We have redacted certain portions of the paperwork related to the eavesdropping or wiretaps. In doing so, we made an application to Judge Garaufis before this case was assigned to your Honor. In that application, without going into the particulars, we sought protection for a number of reasons, including there was an ongoing investigation; that there were --

THE COURT: Is that investigation ongoing still?

MR. LIPTON: Yes.

THE COURT: With respect to other defendants?

MR. LIPTON: With respect to not other defendants, other subjects or targets not in this case.

That investigation was not part of the investigation that led to the indictment of the defendants in this case. It was not anything used in any of the detention memoranda. We have turned over everything that relates to this defendant, Mr. Cefalu, and it's the government's position the portions that have been redacted, other stuff that has not been disclosed is not Rule 16 discovery, does not relate to the charges. We've provided every statement, every recording Mr. Cefalu is on and the redacted portions of the paperwork relate to issues that were disclosed, the ongoing nature of the investigation, confidential source information and it's the government's position, and Judge Garaufis agreed it would

compromise that ongoing investigation, put individuals who are providing information, their safety in jeopardy.

THE COURT: Did Judge Garaufis see the unredacted version in making this decision?

MR. LIPTON: He did not. It was provided to him. Your Honor, it was made available. We did not actually physically bring it over to him, but it was available if he wanted it. We made that available.

THE COURT: Provide me with the redacted and unredacted. I'll look at it in chambers and see if I want you to redact -- unredact -- anything that is redacted. Please do that at your earliest convenience, please.

What else?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. RYAN: That's it, your Honor. I would ask you to seriously consider any applications we may make after today concerning discovery material we're going to be hit with.

THE COURT: I always consider all your applications seriously because I know you make them seriously.

MR. RYAN: I will.

THE COURT: And if you make them, I will consider them.

MR. RYAN: Thank you very much, Judge.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Is there any other defendant who wishes to make an application?

11 1 (No response.) 2 THE COURT: Any application by the government? 3 MR. LIPTON: I believe there are some applications. I don't know if it was specific to discovery, but I think it's 4 5 probably better left to defense counsel to describe to your 6 Honor the situation we're in a month out from trial, but after 7 they make those applications --8 THE COURT: None of them are rising. I assume they 9 have nothing they want to bring to my attention. 10 MR. FRANZ: Eric Franz on behalf of Mr. Sclafani. 11 My application is basically continuation of the application I 12 made before the court. 13 THE COURT: Which is? 14 MR. FRANZ: Adjournment of the trial date currently 15 scheduled for June 9th. 16 THE COURT: Denied. 17 MR. FRANZ: Understood. I want to make my record 18 so the court can understand that there's over 500 hours of 19 tapes here made principally by a gentleman by the name of Vallaro. To effectively prepare for trial, it's not just 20 21 identifying those portions of the tapes --22 THE COURT: Are you retained or appointed? 23 MR. FRANZ: Retained. 24 THE COURT: Then get yourself an assistant. 25 MR. FRANZ: Your Honor, I have an assistant.

Unfortunately, as I told the court the last time when I indicated in a letter beforehand, I'm commencing a three-week trial 11 days from now. That trial is going to take me some time into the beginning of June.

THE COURT: I'm not going to adjourn the trial.

I've got too many defense counsel in a case that requires almost all available defense counsel in this district. If I start adjourning this case, I can't juggle it to satisfy anybody. So, you will have to adjust yourself to the schedule of other defense counsel and the court. Nothing new has been brought to my attention. Your motion is denied.

Is there anybody else?

MR. FUTERFAS: Yes, your Honor. I understand your Honor's practical concerns with all defense counsel, the number of defendants that have been brought in a single case. One of the things that a number of defense counsel are doing are spending very significant amounts of time seeing if we can reach a very significant disposition with respect to many of these defendants, many of the defendants. Whether we will actually achieve the numbers we hope to achieve remains to be seen.

However, the practical problem we face is a very significant one. We've had meeting after meeting at the MDC. When we schedule those meetings, they've been very accommodating, my secretary, for example, will send, say we

want 15 people or 18 people to attend, defendants. The MDC writes back, says no, for this meeting have seven or eight. So, we have seven or eight. We've had meetings seriatim by different lawyers, some of the lawyers in this room, some of the lawyers not here today, so that over time we reach both defendants on bail and defendants who are not on bail. It's seven or eight at a time per meeting.

We have had a meeting with almost 25 defense counsel and getting 25 defense counsel at one meeting at one place at one time is not easy.

THE COURT: You can use the telephone.

MR. FUTERFAS: We do that. People have different schedules, commitments at different times.

THE COURT: Yes, it is difficult, I understand.

MR. FUTERFAS: The practical issue we have is that I don't know if I have a specific application at this point, but I do want to inform your Honor because of the issues that we're dealing with. To try to reach such a resolution is absolutely all-encompassing. There are many defense counsel that have to be contacted.

Because some people are in group B, F or G, they don't have trial dates, obviously. Cases for them are some time away, the trials. Nonetheless, reaching out to people, getting them involved in the process is something that is taking many, many hours a day.

We as defense counsel realistically cannot do both. It's very difficult to try, on the one hand, to resolve a significant portion of the case and on the other hand realistically prepare for trial. We are trying. I have not one but two people assisting me, listening to tape recordings, making transcripts.

I'm just telling your Honor of a very, very real practical concern. What we're hoping to do is get a proposal to the government, a mass proposal to the government very early next week. Depending on how that's received, maybe make an appearance before your Honor towards the end of next week or the early part of the following week where we can report more substantively on where we are.

My guess is, your Honor, is that if there is much to this proposal, there's always going to be issues with individual defendants. One of the difficulties here is that individual defendants in every case are very differently situated. So, there are going to be situations where there are defendants who A, choose to go to trial; B, just the government and that defendant are not going to agree or going to be far apart. We anticipate that.

We are cautiously optimistic there will be a very significant number with whom we can eventually reach some kind of middle ground. That process is going to be very time consuming. Once our mass proposal goes over to the

government, they're going to have to look at it. We expect significant amount of time spent in individual negotiations between individual defense counsel and the government.

It will be during that period of time and even up until now very difficult to prepare the case for trial from our perspective, I could imagine the government would feel the same way. I guess I'm asking your Honor to simply consider A, to schedule an appearance before your Honor maybe later next week or the very beginning of the following week; B, just keep in your Honor's mind the possibility of considering blocking out a period of time, almost creating a hiatus period in which it would be absolutely necessary if such a disposition is to occur for the parties to devote all their resources to doing that.

Again, I don't have a specific application, but I did want to alert your Honor what's going on so you understand at least another backdrop of our practical considerations on this subject.

THE COURT: I appreciate your statement. I've already expressed my view that we're fortunate in having such experienced and responsible counsel on both sides. I'll certainly keep what you said in mind.

Anybody else wish to be heard?

MS. MACEDONIO: Elizabeth Macedonio for

Mr. D'Amico. I join in Mr. Franz's application. You know the

facts. Discovery is overwhelming. I'm not going to be prepared on June 9th. We are engaged in active plea negotiations.

In addition to that, I have a bail application to make this morning. I'm not sure if your Honor wants to hear that independently, just with Mr. D'Amico, if you would like to go forward with everyone here.

THE COURT: I'll hear it. I don't need anybody else to hear your application. After we finish with everybody else, you can remain with your client. If the marshals can handle that, we'll hear it. Can you do that?

THE MARSHAL: Yes, we can.

MR. FRANZ: I have one brief application at the conclusion regarding a travel request for Mr. Sclafani.

THE COURT: I'll hear it now.

MR. FRANZ: You had granted a request for him to go to Florida with his family May 14th to the 28th. They were going to get tickets for that time. He's asked me to modify that, follow up in a letter if necessary, May 21st to June 4th, moving it over a week. I conferred with the government. They don't object.

THE COURT: Granted. Submit an order.

What else?

MR. LIPTON: I don't know if your Honor would like to set down a status conference date for maybe ten days out.

It does make sense to the government. We have been negotiating in good faith with defense counsel. There is what appears to be a large number of individuals who in principal are interested in negotiating. Without getting too deep into it, we're working on that.

The practical realities Mr. Futerfas raised are indeed present and we're continuing to prepare for trial. We understand your Honor needs and wants the case to go forward if there's going to be trials; that we will be ready. In an effort to try to accommodate all the negotiations, also to prepare for trial, if we had that status conference date --

THE COURT: You want a date set now? What do you want, what date?

MR. LIPTON: We can do it the 20th?

MR. FRANZ: As I indicated, I would suggest we do it the Friday before. That's the last time I'm available.

THE COURT: You may not be sufficiently advanced in your discussions. I'm available any time.

MR. LIPTON: I would say, I think, your Honor, May 20th, would give us probably a week from the time we assume we're going to get a proposal from defense counsel and we'll try to turn that around and discuss that with them as soon as possible.

THE COURT: May 20th.

Jury selection is scheduled for June 9th, right?

THE CLERK: I believe it is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LIPTON: We will be making an application for an anonymous jury.

THE COURT: You'll have to make it, but I have to be concerned with getting enough jurors in. That may depend upon how many defendants we're faced with and, therefore, how many challenges I'll permit.

When does the notice go out to give us a panel on the 9th?

THE CLERK: Right about now, a month before.

THE COURT: How many are you asking for?

THE CLERK: That's my question to you.

THE COURT: What's their normal call?

THE CLERK: Is it anonymous or is it not?

THE COURT: Assume it's going to be anonymous, tell the Clerk of the Court to put on for call an extra one hundred jurors from what he had planned. That will get us through.

MR. LIPTON: That's really the issue, your Honor, anonymous jury and also depending on how many individuals we end up with and if we end up with just, for example, one or two --

THE COURT: Unless there's an objection, I think an anonymous jury may be useful here.

MR. FUTERFAS: Very significant objection from the defense.

THE COURT: Make a formal motion.

MR. FUTERFAS: Sorry?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

moving?

THE COURT: Make a formal motion.

MR. FUTERFAS: Am I making it or is the government

THE COURT: The government is making a motion for anonymous jury. They'll make it on papers.

MR. FUTERFAS: Then we'll respond.

MR. FRANZ: I'll then renew my motion for a severance. Mr. Sclafani is not charged in the racketeering counts, not charged with participating --

THE COURT: Your motion is denied. I don't see it has anything to do with your motion.

MR. FRANZ: The reason it would, anonymous jury, I submit, is extremely detrimental to the defendant notwithstanding safeguards are put in place.

THE COURT: I'll hear you if the government makes a motion. I'll have to set time for argument.

Anything further?

MR. LIPTON: There were some dates we were going to advise your Honor that key off the trial date in terms of proposed -- proposals -- by the government of getting out 3500 material, witness lists, those sorts of things which we had put in our response to Mr. Cefalu's motion. Because we are continuing these negotiations, we had asked that if the

trial is going to go forward on June 9th, 2 weeks before that we would have our 404(b) evidence motion in, our anonymous jury motion, 3500 and expert notification and whatever your Honor would like as jury charges from the government.

THE COURT: As of this moment we'll assume the case is going to be tried beginning June 9th. If you have any motions that are appropriate, considering that date, make them normally. They are not before me at this moment?

MR. LIPTON: That's correct.

THE COURT: The only thing open is the bail application, correct? I want to thank you very much.

MR. FUTERFAS: Can I inquire through your Honor what is the size? I don't want the defense to remain silent on dates for 3500 material, but I would like to inquire through your Honor are we talking about five boxes of material? I don't know how many witnesses they're going to call. I don't know how many times those individuals have testified. I don't know what the size of this material is.

THE COURT: Do you have any idea?

MR. LIPTON: There are some witnesses that have testified before that have --

THE COURT: I assume the government is looking to move the case forwards.

MR. LIPTON: Yes.

THE COURT: Don't drag your heels. Give it to them

1 as soon as you have it available on a rolling basis. 2 MR. LIPTON: Yes, Judge. I think two weeks will be 3 more than enough. There are individuals who have testified 4 before. I don't think that's going to be burdensome. 5 THE COURT: Bear in mind they have a practical 6 application they could make if you delay, so move forward. 7 Thank you very much. 8 THE CLERK: May 20th at 11:30. 9 MR. LIPTON: There was one issue before Mr. Cefalu 10 leaves, the government intends to be superseding the 11 indictment as to Mr. D'Amico and Mr. Cefalu on a couple of 12 items, either one or more and we're hoping to have that next 13 week. 14 THE COURT: I can't do anything about that issue. 15 They may have a basis for adjournment. 16 MR. RYAN: This is the first time I'm notified of 17 that. 18 MR. LIPTON: That's our intention. I know it's not 19 before your Honor right now. 20 THE COURT: I'll deal with it when it comes up. 21 MR. RYAN: We will, too. Thank you. 22 This is my application. MS. MACEDONIO: 23 THE COURT: On behalf of? 24 MS. MACEDONIO: Mr. D'Amico. I'm asking for your 25 Honor to reconsider Judge Matsumoto's order of detention

against Mr. D'Amico. She found that he was a risk of flight and a danger to the community.

I submitted a lengthy memorandum in this regard. I trust the court has received it.

THE COURT: Yes.

(Pause.)

THE COURT: Make your oral statement, please.

MS. MACEDONIO: Judge, I think the government has failed to prove, carried their burden in both respects,

Mr. D'Amico is a risk of flight or danger to the community.

I'm going to start with risk of flight.

Everything about Mr. D'Amico's past indicates that he has and will continue to return to court as directed by your Honor. His history is nothing short of remarkable.

If in the 1980s there was a federal case brought in New Jersey. On that case he appeared every time he was supposed to. He was released on his own recognizance. The case was ultimately dismissed.

Thereafter, in the Southern District of New York,
Mr. D'Amico became aware that charges were going to be brought
against him. Not only did he self-surrender, but days
preceding his arrest, he rented a hotel room in White Plains
to facilitate his arrest and surrendered voluntarily to the
FBI in White Plains.

In the instant case, he heard about the arrest on

the news and made arrangements that very day to self-surrender. He's never had an issue with a warrant being authorized by any judge for his nonappearance. He's a 71-year old lifelong resident of the state.

He has a home in New Jersey where his wife lives, married many years, raised three children. There's nothing about his past that indicates that he's not going to return to court. Indeed, every time he's been directed to, he's done so.

I think the idea that he would in this case self-surrender, then flee is nothing short of ridiculous.

The government alleges Mr. D'Amico is facing a significant period of time and that may cause him to flee. That causes me to go into a description of the evidence against him in this case.

THE COURT: Your papers indicate he's a sales representative for a water distributor.

MS. MACEDONIO: That's correct, had that job for several years, had it while he was on supervised release in the Southern District of New York. He continues to be employed. If he's released he will go right back to work. He takes the train to work. He has no car.

THE COURT: Does he pay taxes?

MS. MACEDONIO: He most certainly does, your Honor. He's had the job for over 17 years.

1 THE COURT: Is his wife employed? 2 MS. MACEDONIO: She is employed at a local school, 3 monitors the cafeteria. 4 THE COURT: What are their sources of income, her 5 cafeteria income and his employment with the water company? 6 MS. MACEDONIO: And his social security and her 7 social security. 8 THE COURT: They live alone, just the two of them in the house now? 9 10 MS. MACEDONIO: Yes. The house is paid for. 11 THE COURT: Has no mortgage? 12 MS. MACEDONIO: No mortgage. 13 THE COURT: He does have some health problems? 14 MS. MACEDONIO: Significant health problems. He 15 has diabetes, not receiving medication he needs at the Queens 16 facility. He has a lesion on his pancreas. Obviously if that 17 develops into something else, it's fatal, there's no other way 18 to describe it. 19 We've been unable to make certain he receives the 20 MRI he needs, long overdue for that. He's got other 21 diabetic-related issues with respect to high blood pressure, 22 has to take medication to monitor his heart. He's getting

THE COURT: What are your proposals?

some of the medications but not all that his doctor has

prescribed, not nearly in the doses required.

23

24

25

25 1 MS. MACEDONIO: I have a package of three 2 properties totaling about \$2 million. 3 THE COURT: \$2 million net assets? 4 MS. MACEDONIO: Yes, secured bond of \$2 million. Ι would like for Mr. D'Amico to be able to go back to work. 5 6 THE COURT: What else? 7 MS. MACEDONIO: He will submit to regular pretrial 8 supervision. He doesn't have a passport nor or applied for 9 one. 10 THE COURT: And no application. 11 House arrest while he's not working? 12 MS. MACEDONIO: That's fine. 13 THE COURT: Working or at the doctor or with the 14 lawyer. 15 That's correct. MS. MACEDONIO: 16 THE COURT: No cell phone. Does he use a cell phone 17 at work? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Who supplies the cell phone? 20 THE DEFENDANT: The company. 21 No cell phone to go home, just while THE COURT: 22 you're at work. You're not to call anybody except on 23 business; do you understand that? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 25 THE COURT: How many phones do you have in the

26 1 house? 2 THE DEFENDANT: One. 3 THE COURT: One land line subject to tapping by the 4 government; subject to house inspection by the government? 5 MS. MACEDONIO: That's fine, Judge. THE COURT: 6 No visitors except relatives by 7 marriage or blood. 8 MR. BURLINGAME: We're going to object. 9 MS. MACEDONIO: That's fine, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Anything else you want to propose? 11 Ankle bracelet that he pays for? MS. MACEDONIO: That's fine, your Honor; thank you. 12 13 MR. BURLINGAME: Judge, before we get to the 14 dangerousness issue, the government has evidence the 15 defendant's job at Big Geyser is a sham. There's a recorded 16 conversation in which he says he pays the company \$700 in 17 order for him to receive his salary of \$700 a week. There's a 18 witness who will testify to that. That should not be the 19 basis for him to get out of jail to continue to work at a job 20 which is just a front. 21 THE COURT: Have you seen that? 22 MS. MACEDONIO: I have not. This is the first I'm 23 hearing of it. 24 THE COURT: Do you have a copy of that transcript? 25 MR. BURLINGAME: I have -- I can provide a copy of

the transcript.

THE COURT: Provide a copy to counsel and we'll adjourn the hearing while counsel considers it. That would be a factor.

MR. BURLINGAME: I would like to argue as to dangerousness as I'm sure your Honor is familiar with the Second Circuit authority that frequently has held people who have been alleged to be acting bosses of organized crime families at liberty presents a risk to the public, not only from their own activity, but the subordinates who they are supervising. Obviously the question on your Honor's mind is what is the government's evidence that he is indeed the acting boss of the Gambino family.

THE COURT: You'll have to bring it forward. We'll adjourn this until early next week if that's convenient?

MR. BURLINGAME: I'm actually going to be traveling the beginning of next week.

THE COURT: Can you give that information immediately? Today is Thursday. Do it by Friday, tomorrow. No, tomorrow is impossible for me. When do you start your travel?

MR. BURLINGAME: I'm away from tomorrow through -- I get back Wednesday of next week. I'm in the grand jury on Thursday.

THE COURT: Are you available Wednesday?

Case 1:08-cr-00076-JBW Document 691 Filed 05/19/08 Page 28 of 31 PageID #: 3635 28 1 MR. BURLINGAME: I could be available probably late 2 in the afternoon Wednesday. 3 THE COURT: Put it on for 3:00 o'clock next 4 Wednesday, please. 5 MR. BURLINGAME: I'm sorry, what's Wednesday's 6 date? 7 THE CLERK: The 14th. 8 THE COURT: 3:00 o'clock on Wednesday the 14th. 9 Furnish defense counsel with the materials you're relying on 10 forthwith so she can deal with them, including his 11 relationship with the alleged Mafia gang as an official. 12 MR. BURLINGAME: It's both a mix of cooperating 13 witness testimony and recorded conversations concerning his 14 status as the acting boss. 15 THE COURT: The recorded conversations. 16 MR. BURLINGAME: They have already been turned 17 over, I believe. 18 THE COURT: Give defense counsel a summary of what 19 else. I don't want to hear the witnesses.

MR. BURLINGAME: I'll provide a summary of the tape recorded conversations and summary of what the witness testimony would be.

THE COURT: Very well. I'll hear you on Wednesday.

MR. BURLINGAME: Thank you.

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MACEDONIO: Thank you.

1 THE COURT: Are you being well treated? 2 THE DEFENDANT: No. 3 THE COURT: What's the problem? 4 THE DEFENDANT: I have lesions on my pancreas, six 5 months behind. I was getting MRI's for my pancreas. January I was due. February I didn't do it because I got 6 7 arrested and I was supposed to do it every three months 8 according to Dr. Bloomgard (ph) from Sloane Kettering. I 9 haven't done it in six months. My medication at the facility is minusing me three different medications that go along with 10 my diabetes and my cholesterol. They're giving me whatever 11 12 they give me, but it's not what I was getting. I gave them a 13 list from my doctor what I'm supposed to get. 14 THE COURT: How do they handle when he needs an 15 MRI? They take him to the facility that has the MRI 16 equipment? 17 MR. LIPTON: I will have to inquire. 18 THE COURT: See what you can do for him. Ιf there's a possible cancer there, we don't want it to develop 19 20 because he's not getting his appropriate treatment and 21 examinations. 22 MS. MACEDONIO: I've had discussions with the 23 warden at the Queens facility with regard to that. 24 Unfortunately, I think this just comes down to economics. 25 They had submitted that Mr. D'Amico was due for an MRI, went

up to corporate. Corporate denied it.

THE COURT: Corporate?

MS. MACEDONIO: Apparently the group which is the Queens facility is run by a corporation. They've denied my client proper medical treatment. It has to be addressed. A lesion on your pancreas is deadly. The MRI has to be done and it has to be done now. We need to know what's going on.

THE COURT: Wednesday, supply a letter from the doctor indicating that it is exigent, if that is the case. You can subpoena, if you wish, an official of the institution to explain why, if his doctor says he must have it, they're not giving it to him.

MS. MACEDONIO: I have submitted letters with regard to Mr. D'Amico's medical situation. They are attached to my bail memorandum. If you need something further, I'll be happy to submit.

THE COURT: You'll have to convince me so use your subpoena powers. You have the date and time.

MR. LIPTON: I don't think that will be necessary. If we need an official here, I'll make sure to communicate with the warden, let him know your Honor is going to have this hearing and the issue of Mr. D'Amico's medical situation is going to be discussed. If defense counsel calls us, we'll intercede, do what we can. If it turns out the facility or BOP is not willing to do something. I'm sure it will be

```
31
     brought before your Honor and the appropriate steps will be
 1
 2
     taken.
 3
                THE COURT:
                              Thank you.
 4
                (Matter concludes.)
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

SS OCR CM CRR CSR